

RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO CHANGE VENUE



NON-DETAINED



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE LUMPKIN, GEORGIA

In the Matter of:	
N ANA , K	File No.: A
In Removal Proceedings	
INDEX OF EXHIBITS IN S	SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT'S

MOTION TO CHANGE VENUE

Exhibit (A): Cardhold Authorization authorizing payment of bail bond fee to Action Immigration Bonds & Insurance Services, Inc.

Exhibit (B):	Respondent's Form EOIR 33, showing a change of address to	
Exhibit (C): Respondent	Letter from Management Annual, showing willingness to be responsible for	
Exhibit (D):	Manual A States Passport, confirming his U.S. citizenship	
Exhibit (E):	K N 's EOIR Form, showing his new address in	
Exhibit (F):	Retainer Agreement between K N and and	

Exhibit (G): Stamp-filed copy of first page of Motion to Remove from Detained Docket and Change Venue to Atlanta Immigration Court

Exhibit (H): Emails between Respondent's counsel and OCC, showing that OCC will not oppose the instant motion

In the Matter of: File No.: A In Removal Proceedings File No.: A

RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO CHANGE VENUE

COMES NOW Respondent K Name, by and through undersigned counsel, and hereby moves this Court to transfer venue of this case from Charlotte Immigration Court to Atlanta Immigration Court. As grounds for the instant Motion, Respondent states as follows:

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Respondent is a twenty-nine-year-old native and citizen of Tunisia who entered the United States on a B2 tourist visa on or about February 28, 2016. He was detained at Stewart Detention Center beginning in March 2017, pending a hearing in his removal proceedings. On September 8, 2017, the Respondent filed a Motion for a Custody Redetermination, asking the Court to release him on a reasonable bond. Immigration Judge Charles McCullough held a hearing on Respondent's motion for a bond on September 18, 2017 and granted Respondent a six

thousand dollar (\$6,000.00) bond. On September 20, 2017, Respondent's cousin, Management of Bail Bond Fee (Exhibit "A").

The Respondent is now residing with his cousin, Martin Alexand, at		
pending his individual merits		
hearing. See Copy of Form EOIR 33 (Exhibit "B"); Copy of Notarized Letter from M		
A (Exhibit "C"); Copy of Mr. A States Passport (Exhibit "D").		
Respondent is currently represented pro bono in his removal proceeding by		
. See Copy of E-28 (Exhibit "E"); Copy of Retainer		
Agreement (Exhibit "F"). Ms. New 's office is located at		

On September 25, 2017, Respondent filed a Motion to Remove Case from Detained Docket and Change Venue, asking Immigration Judge McCullough to transfer this case to Atlanta Immigration Court. See Stamp-Filed Copy of First Page of Respondent's Motion to Remove Case from Detained Docket and Change Venue (Exhibit "G"). Before IJ McCullough had the opportunity to rule on Respondent's motion, the case was transferred to Charlotte Immigration Court.

ARGUMENT

The Immigration Judge, for good cause, may change venue upon motion by one of the parties after the charging document has been filed with the Immigration Court. 8 CFR

1003.20(b). "Good cause is determined by balancing the factors . . . relevant to the venue issue." *Matter of Rahman*, 20 I. & N. Dec. 480, 482–83 (BIA 1992). Such factors include administrative convenience, expeditious treatment of the case, location of witnesses, and costs of transporting witnesses or evidence to a new location. *Id. (citing Matter of Velasquez*, 19 I. & N. Dec. 377 (BIA 1986)).

with his cousin, Mean while his Respondent is now living in is approximately 159 counsel maintains an office in miles from the Atlanta Immigration Court, and and a second second is only approximately 20 miles away. Neither the Respondent nor the Respondent's attorney have any connections to Charlotte, North Carolina. The balance of factors enumerated by the Board in the aforementioned cases warrants a transfer of this case to the Atlanta Immigration Court. Specifically, Respondent has the right to an attorney of his choice and desires to maintain as likewise wishes to continue to represent Respondent in his counsel. removal proceedings. Venue in Atlanta Immigration Court will guarantee the feasibility of s continued pro bono representation of Respondent. In particular, 's and the Respondent's proximity to Atlanta Immigration Court will ensure that Ms.

counsel can continue to effectively represent Respondent by guaranteeing that counsel has maximum access to the Court and to critical resources, such as legal support and interpretation services for Respondent. For example, in order to thoroughly prepare Respondent for his merits hearing, Respondent will require Arabic interpreters, which counsel will be able to easily obtain in Atlanta. Furthermore, **Example** is a nonprofit organization that is representing the Respondent *pro bono*; therefore, venue in a jurisdiction that is not easily accessible to **second problem in the second problem in the s**

On the other hand, the Respondent is unaware of any inconvenience or prejudice that would result to the Office of Chief of Counsel (OCC) if venue were in Atlanta. In fact, Respondent's counsel communicated with OCC on September 22, 2017 about the instant motion, and OCC confirmed that it does not oppose a change of venue to Atlanta Immigration Court. See Email from OCC dated September 22, 2017 (Exhibit "H").

CONCLUSION AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF

For all the foregoing reasons, Respondent respectfully asks that this Court GRANT his Motion to Change Venue to the Immigration Court in Atlanta, Georgia.

This the 3RD day of October, 2017.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE LUMPKIN, GEORGIA

In the Matter of:	
N. Karatika	File No.: A
In Removal Proceedings	

ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Upon consideration of the respondent's Motion to Change Venue, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be ______GRANTED ______DENIED because:

- ____DHS does not oppose the motion.
- _____The respondent does not oppose the motion.
- A response to the motion has not been filed with the court.
- Good cause has been established for the motion.
- _____The court agrees with the reasons stated in the opposition to the motion.
- _____The motion is untimely per ______.
- ___Other:

Deadlines:

- _____The application(s) for relief must be filed by ______
- The respondent must comply with DHS biometrics instructions by ______.

Date

Honorable United States Immigration Court

Certificate of Service

This document was served by: [] Mail [] Personal Service

To: [] Alien [] Alien c/o Custodial Officer [] Alien's Atty/Rep [] DHS

Date: _____ By: Court Staff_

U.S. Department of Justice Executive Office for Immigration Review Immigration Court

OMB# 1125-0004 Alien's Change of Address Form/ Immigration Court

If you move or change your phone number, the law requires you to file this Change of Address Form with the Immigration Court. You must file this form within five (5) working days of a change in your address or phone number. You will only receive notification as to the time, date, and place of hearing or other official correspondence at the address which you provide. Changes in address or telephone numbers communicated through any means except this form, e.g., pleadings, motion papers, correspondence, telephone calls, applications for relief, etc. will not be recognized and the address information and record with remain unchanged.

