February 18, 2020

United States House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515 United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

## **RE: CONGRESS MUST ESTABLISH AN INDEPENDENT IMMIGRATION COURT**

Dear Member of Congress:

We, the undersigned international, national, state, and local immigration, civil rights, faith-based, government accountability, and labor organizations respectfully call on Congress to establish an immigration court system that is independent of the Department of Justice (DOJ) so that it can guarantee due process and a fair hearing for immigrants.

The Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), which manages the Immigration Court and the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA), is currently housed under DOJ. While trial-level immigration prosecutors are housed under the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) within Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), the Attorney General supervises the Office of Immigration Litigation (OIL) which defends immigration cases on behalf of the government in the circuit courts of appeals. This inherent conflict of interest is made worse by the fact that immigration judges are considered merely government attorneys, a classification that fails to recognize the significance of their judicial duties and put them at the whims of the Attorney General.

For years we have seen the detrimental effects of these politicized courts. Administrations have repeatedly made policy decisions not because they're efficient or legally sound, but because they're politically expedient. For example, in an attempt to achieve policy goals, administrations have manipulated and shuffled the court dockets to prioritize certain cases, moving cases to the back of the line and further growing the already enormous case backlog. For a long time, the courts were also drastically underresourced due to DOJ hiring freezes and competing funding priorities. The immigration courts now face crisis-level backlogs of over one million cases.<sup>i</sup>

While the immigration courts have been plagued by systemic problems for a long time, the Trump administration has severely and irreparably undermined due process for the sole purpose of accelerating deportations. For example, DOJ imposed case-completion quotas, tying judges' individual performance reviews to the number of cases they complete.<sup>ii</sup> A strict timeframe for case completion interferes with a person's right to examine and present evidence, as well as to provide adequate time to find an attorney, secure expert witnesses, and obtain evidence from overseas. This kind of rushed, assembly-line justice is unacceptable to impose on judges who are making important, often life-or-death, decisions.<sup>iii</sup>

The Trump administration has also weaponized the certification authority, which allows the Attorney General to render precedent-setting immigration decisions.<sup>iv</sup> Under the previous administration, Attorneys General employed this power only four times over the course of eight years.<sup>v</sup> By contrast, the Trump administration has already certified twelve cases, with Attorneys General issuing precedent decisions that strike at the heart of a respondent's ability to have a full and fair hearing.<sup>vi</sup> Certification decisions have also taken aim at asylum-seekers, attempting to prevent people fleeing domestic violence and gang-based persecution from qualifying for asylum; permitting judges to deny hearings to asylum seekers without conducting a full evidentiary hearing; and limiting bond for asylum seekers.<sup>vii</sup>

We can no longer afford to have a system that can be so easily manipulated. We respectfully ask that Congress establish an independent court system that would restore due process and judicial independence. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Laura Lynch (<u>llynch@aila.org</u>, 202-507-7627).

Sincerely,

Advocates for Basic Legal Equality, Inc. American Immigration Council American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA) Amnesty International USA Capital Area Immigrants' Rights (CAIR) Coalition Catholic Legal Immigration Network Inc. Center for Gender & Refugee Studies Center for Victims of Torture Church World Service Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights (CHIRLA) DC - MD Justice For Our Neighbors Freedom for Immigrants Freedom Network USA Government Accountability Project HIAS Human Rights First Human Rights Initiative of North Texas Human Rights Watch Ignatian Solidarity Network **Immigration Equality** Innovation Law Lab International Federation of Professional and Technical Engineers InterReligious Task Force On Central America and Colombia Justice for Our Neighbors Michigan Kids in Need of Defense Las Americas Immigrant Advocacy Center Latin America Working Group (LAWG) Lutheran Immigration and Refugee Service

National Advocacy Center of the Sisters of the Good Shepherd

- National Council of Jewish Women
- National Immigrant Justice Center
- National Immigration Law Center
- National Immigration Project of the National Lawyers Guild (NIPNLG)
- National Justice for Our Neighbors
- National Network for Immigrant and Refugee Rights
- NETWORK Lobby for Catholic Social Justice
- New York City Bar Association
- Northern Illinois Justice for Our Neighbors
- Ohio Immigrant Alliance
- Project On Government Oversight
- **Refugees International**
- Round Table of Former Immigration Judges
- San Antonio Region Justice For Our Neighbors
- Santa Fe Dreamers Project
- Service Employees International Union (SEIU)
- Sisters of Mercy of the Americas Justice Team
- South Texas Human Rights Center
- SPLC Action Fund
- The Florence Immigrant & Refugee Rights Project
- The Legal Clinic
- Unitarian Universalist Service Committee
- United We Dream
- Women's Refugee Commission
- Young Center for Immigrant Children's Rights

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> DOJ's Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), Memorandum from James McHenry, Director, Executive Office for Immigration Review on Immigration Judge Performance Metrics to All Immigration Judges (Mar. 30, 2018), <u>https://www.aila.org/infonet/eoir-memo-immigration-judge-performance-metrics</u>; *see also* National Association of Immigration Judges, Imposing Quotas on Immigration Judges will Exacerbate the Case Backlog at Immigration Courts, (Jan. 31, 2018), <u>https://www.naij-usa.org/images/uploads/publications/NAIJ Imposing Quotas on IJs will Exacerbate the Court Backlog 1-31-</u>

<u>18. .pdf</u>; EOIR's Strategic Caseload Reduction Plan (Oct. 23, 2017), <u>https://www.aila.org/EOIRplan</u>.
 <sup>ii</sup> EOIR, Memorandum from James McHenry, Director, Executive Office for Immigration Review on Immigration Judge Performance Metrics to All Immigration Judges (Mar. 30, 2018), <u>https://www.aila.org/infonet/eoir-memo-immigration-judge-performance-metrics</u>; *see also* National Association of Immigration Judges, Imposing Quotas on Immigration Judges will Exacerbate the Case Backlog at Immigration Courts, (Jan. 31, 2018), <u>https://www.naij-usa.org/images/uploads/publications/NAIJ Imposing Quotas on IJs will Exacerbate the Court Backlog 1-31-18. .pdf; EOIR's Strategic Caseload Reduction Plan (Oct. 23, 2017), <u>https://www.aila.org/EOIRplan</u>.
</u>

<sup>iii</sup> INA §240(b)(4)(B) requires that a respondent be given a "reasonable opportunity" to examine and present evidence. *See* AILA Policy Brief: Imposing Numeric Quotas on Judges Threatens the Independence and Integrity of Courts (Oct. 12, 2017), <u>https://www.aila.org/ijquotas#PDF</u>.

<sup>iv</sup> 8 U.S.C. § 1103(g)(2) ("The Attorney General shall establish such regulations . . . [and] review such administrative determinations in immigration proceedings . . . .").

<sup>v</sup> Sophie Murguia and Kanyakrit Vongkiatkajorn, Jeff Sessions Is Executing Trump's Immigration Plans With a Quiet, Efficient Brutality, MOTHER JONES (Sept. 7, 2018), <u>https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2018/09/jeff-sessions-is-executing-trumps-immigration-plans-with-a-quiet-efficient-brutality/</u>.

<sup>vi</sup> Matter of CASTRO-TUM, 27 I&N Dec. 271 (A.G. 2018); Matter of S-O-G- & F-D-B-, 27 I&N Dec. 462 (A.G. 2018); Matter of L-A-B-R- et al., 27 I&N Dec. 405 (A.G. 2018); Matter of E-F-H-L-, 27 I&N Dec. 226 (A.G. 2018); Matter of M-G-G-, 27 I&N Dec. 475 (A.G. 2018); Matter of A-B-, 27 I&N Dec. 316 (A.G. 2018); Matter of NEGUSIE, 27 I&N Dec. 481 (A.G. 2018); Matter of L-E-A-, 27 I&N Dec. 581 (A.G. 2019); Matter of M-S-, 27 I&N Dec. 509 (A.G. 2019); Matter of REYES, 27 I&N Dec. 708 (A.G. 2019); Matter of THOMAS and THOMPSON, 27 I&N Dec. 674 (A.G 2019); Matter of CASTILLO-PEREZ, 27 I&N Dec. 664 (A.G. 2019).

<sup>vii</sup> *Matter of A-B-*, 27 I&N Dec. 316 (A.G. 2018); *Matter of E-F-H-L-*, 27 I&N Dec. 226 (A.G. 2018); and *Matter of M-G-G-*, 27 I&N Dec. 475 (A.G. 2018).