1	Ahilan T. Arulanantham, SBN 237841 aarulanantham@aclusocal.org	
2	Zoë N. McKinney, SBN 312877 zmckinney@aclusocal.org	
3	ACLU FOUNDATION of SOUTHERN CALIF 1313 West 8th Street	FORNIA
4	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 977-5211/Fax: (213) 977-5297	7
5	Jessica Karp Bansal, SBN 277347	
6	jbansal@ndlon.org Emilou MacLean, SBN 319071	
7	emi@ndlon.org NATIONAL DAY LABORER ORGANIZING NETWORK	
9	674 S. La Layette Park Place Los Angeles, CA 90057 Telephone: (213) 380-2214/Fax: (213) 380-278'	7
10	Alycia A. Degen, SBN 211350	,
11	adegen@sidley.com Sean A. Commons, SBN 217603	
12	scommons@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
13	555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
14	Telephone: (213) 896-6000/Fax: (213) 896-6600 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	0
15	[Additional Counsel Listed on Next Page]	
16	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
18	KESHAV BHATTARAI; SAJJAN PANDEY; SUMNIMA THAPA; DONALDO POSADAS	Case No. 3:19-cv-00731-EMC
19	CACERES; SORAYDA RODRIGUEZ MOTIÑO; DENIS MOLINA CHAVEZ; S.S.,	STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS
20	individually and on behalf of others similarly situated; and G.D.P., individually and on	The Honorable Edward M. Chen
21	behalf of others similarly situated,	
22	Plaintiffs, v.	
23	KIRSTJEN NIELSEN, in her official capacity	
24	as Secretary of Homeland Security; ELAINE C.DUKE, in her official capacity as Deputy	
25	Secretary of Homeland Security; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND	
26	SECURITY; and UNITED STATE OF AMERICA	
27	Defendants.	
28		

1	Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs
2	Laboni Hoq, SBN 224140 lhoq@advancingjustice-la.org
3 4	Christopher Lapinig, SBN 322141 clapinig@advancingjustice-la.org Michelle (Minju) Cho, SBN 321939
5	mcho@advancingjustice-la.org ASIAN AMERICANS ADVANCING JUSTICE – LOS ANGELES 1145 Wilshire Blvd., 2nd Floor
6	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 977-7500/Fax: (213) 977-7500
7	Jingni (Jenny) Zhao, SBN 284684
8	jennyz@advancingjustice-alc.org Winifred Kao, SBN 241473 winifredk@advancingjustice-alc.org
10	ASIAN AMERICANS ADVANCING JUSTICE – ASIAN LAW CAUCUS 55 Columbus Avenue
11	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 896-1701/Fax: (415) 896-1702
12	Tami Weerasingha-Cote, SBN 995355 (Washington, D.C.) tweerasi@sidley.com
13	Ava X. Guo, SBN 1027694 (Washington, D.C.) aguo@sidley.com
14 15	Kimberly Leaman, SBN 85925 (Virginia) kimberly.leaman@sidley.com Tyler Domino, SRN 5614631 (New York)
16	tdomino@sidley.com Jon Dugan, SBN 93480 (Virginia)
17	jdugan@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, NW
18	Washington D.C., 20005 Telephone: (202) 736-8000/Fax: (202) 736-8711
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2627	
41	

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, the parties hereby stipulate to, and respectfully request, on the terms set forth in this stipulation, a stay of proceedings on the merits of Plaintiffs' claims pending either (a) final disposition of Defendants' appeal of the preliminary injunction in *Ramos v. Nielsen*, No. 18-01554, including through any additional appellate channels in which relief may be sought, or (b) other order of this Court.

The basis for this stipulation is as follows:

- 1. On April 26, 2018, the Secretary of Homeland Security announced the termination of Temporary Protected Status ("TPS") for Nepal effective June 24, 2019. *See* 83 FR 23705 (May 22, 2018). On May 4, 2018, the Secretary of Homeland Security also announced the termination of TPS for Honduras effective January 5, 2020. *See* 83 FR 26074 (June 5, 2018). Plaintiffs have brought claims under the Administrative Procedure Act and the Fifth Amendment relating to those terminations. Defendants believe the Court lacks jurisdiction over this matter and, otherwise, believe the terminations were conducted in accordance with all applicable laws.
- 2. Plaintiffs' claims are very similar to the claims raised by the plaintiffs in *Ramos*, relating to termination of TPS for El Salvador, Haiti, Nicaragua, and Sudan. Defendants believe the Court in *Ramos* lacks subject matter jurisdiction and that the terminations at issue in that case were conducted in accordance with all applicable laws.
- 3. On October 3, 2018, in *Ramos*, this Court issued an order preliminarily enjoining and restraining Defendants "from engaging in, committing, or performing, directly or indirectly, by any means whatsoever, implementation and/or enforcement of the decisions to terminate [Temporary Protected Status ("TPS")] for Sudan, Haiti, El Salvador, and Nicaragua pending resolution of this case on the merits." *See Ramos* Order [ECF No. 128] at 42. Further, this Court ordered Defendants to report within 15 days on the "administrative steps" Defendants would take or have taken to comply with the preliminary injunction. *See id.* at 43.
- 4. On October 11, 2018, Defendants appealed the preliminary injunction in *Ramos* to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. *Ramos* [ECF No. 129]. Briefing is now complete, and the parties expect the case to be scheduled for argument in the coming months.

- 5. Further, on October 23, 2018, pursuant to this Court's Order, Defendants reported on the administrative steps they had undertaken and planned to undertake in response to the *Ramos* preliminary injunction. *See, e.g., Ramos*, Declaration of Donald Neufeld [ECF No. 135-1]. In addition, Defendants stipulated that TPS for the four countries would remain in effect during the pendency of the appeal. *See Ramos*, Stipulation to Stay Proceedings [ECF No. 138] ¶ 6(c). Defendants also stated that, in the event the preliminary injunction were reversed on appeal, any termination of TPS for Sudan, Haiti, El Salvador, and Nicaragua would go into effect no sooner than 120 days from the issuance of any appellate mandate to this Court. *See Ramos*, Declaration of Donald Neufeld [ECF No. 135-1] ¶ 10; *Ramos*, Stipulation to Stay Proceedings [ECF No. 138] ¶ 6.
- 6. The parties' disputes in this case are similar to, and will be informed by, the disputes being litigated in *Ramos*. The interests of justice and judicial economy would be served by treating the decisions terminating TPS for Honduras and Nepal similarly to the decisions at issue in *Ramos* during the pendency of the *Ramos* appeal. Specifically, Defendants hereby stipulate that they will not "engag[e] in, commit[], or perform[], directly or indirectly, by any means whatsoever, implementation and/or enforcement of the decisions to terminate [Temporary Protected Status ("TPS")] for" Honduras and Nepal, pending resolution of the appeal in *Ramos*, *see Ramos*, Order [ECF No. 128] at 42, or by other order of the Court. Defendants reserve the right to move the Court to lift this stay if the appellate decision in *Ramos* suggests a basis on which to distinguish the Honduras and Nepal TPS terminations from the TPS terminations at issue in *Ramos*.
- 7. Defendants further stipulate that they will take steps with respect to TPS holders from Honduras and Nepal equivalent to those that they agreed to take with respect to the TPS holders at issue in *Ramos*. These include that TPS for Honduras and Nepal will remain in effect during the pendency of this stay, that DHS will periodically issue Federal Register Notices automatically extending by nine-months the appropriate TPS-related documentation for TPS beneficiaries from Honduras and Nepal, with the first such notice to issue for TPS beneficiaries from Nepal approximately forty five (45) days prior to June 24, 2019, and for TPS beneficiaries from Honduras approximately forty five (45) days prior to January 5, 2020. These steps also

usc include in adjudicating late re-registration notices for TPS holders from Honduras and Nepal, Usc is adjudicators will give presumptive weight to whether the delay in filing for re-registration was due in whole or part to the termination notices. *See Ramos*, Stipulation to Stay Proceedings [ECF No. 138] ¶ 6; Declaration of Donald W. Neufeld ¶¶ 9, 16. Further, the parties agree that, in the event the *Ramos* preliminary injunction is reversed on appeal, any termination of TPS for Honduras or Nepal will go into effect no sooner than 120 days from the issuance of any appellate mandate to this Court or, to the extent Defendants move this Court to vacate the stay, 180 days from *vacatur* of the stay by this Court. In addition, for TPS beneficiaries subject to this stipulation, Defendants will take measures consistent with 8 U.S.C. § 1254a(c) and the *Ramos* agreement to ensure the beneficiaries' continued TPS eligibility and the continued validity of TPS-related documentation. *See Ramos*, Declaration of Donald Neufeld [ECF No. 135-1]; *Ramos*, Stipulation to Stay Proceedings [ECF No. 138].

- 8. The parties also stipulate to a stay of the proceedings in this case. Therefore, in addition to staying production of the administrative records in this case, neither side will seek discovery during the pendency of that stay. During the stay, Plaintiffs will seek no relief from this Court beyond any relief needed to enforce the terms of this agreement, and Defendants will not move to dismiss this case.
- 9. Both sides reserve the right to pursue any arguments in support of their positions upon expiration of this agreement, including but not limited to Defendants' arguments that this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, that, if there is jurisdiction, then review should be limited to the administrative record, and any other argument that either side could have made at this stage of the litigation had they not agreed to this stipulation and stay.
- 10. This Court has the authority to issue a stay of proceedings pending the appeal in the related *Ramos* case: "[T]he power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes of its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants." *Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254-55 (1936).
- 11. Accordingly, Plaintiffs and Defendants, through counsel, stipulate and request the Court to order as follows:

- (a) Further proceedings on the merits of this case, including discussions about, and entry of, a schedule for resolving Defendants' motion to dismiss and Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction, shall be stayed pending final resolution of Defendants' appeal of the preliminary injunction in *Ramos*, including through any additional appellate channels in which relief may be sought, or by other order of this Court.
- (b) In the event that the *Ramos* preliminary injunction is affirmed in whole or part after all appellate channels have been exhausted, the parties anticipate resuming litigation in this Court. In the event that the preliminary injunction is reversed on a ground that does not foreclose further discovery, nothing in this agreement forecloses Plaintiffs from initiating discovery forthwith, nor does anything in this agreement foreclose Defendants from opposing such discovery. Plaintiffs need not wait until the mandate issues before initiating discovery in such a situation.
- (c) Following the conclusion of the appeal of the preliminary injunction, TPS will remain in effect for Honduras and Nepal for a minimum of the latter of a) 120 days following the issuance of any mandate to the district court, or b) the Secretary's previously-announced effective date for the termination of TPS designations for each individual country (Nepal June 24, 2019; Honduras January 5, 2020). To the extent that Defendants move to vacate the stay in light of an appellate decision affirming the preliminary injunction in *Ramos* that suggests a basis on which to distinguish the Honduras and Nepal TPS terminations from the TPS terminations at issue in *Ramos*, TPS will remain in effect for Honduras and Nepal for at least 180 days following an order of this Court vacating the stay.
- (d) As in *Ramos*, nothing in this stipulation prevents Plaintiffs from presenting to the Court for resolution any issues concerning Defendants' compliance with Defendants' plan to implement the *Ramos* preliminary injunction, *Ramos*, [ECF No. 135-1], or with the terms of this stipulation. Should either side for any reason fail to comply with the terms of this stipulation, each side reserves the right to request that the Court lift this stay. The

1	parties, however, shall work in good faith to resolve the matter before they may petition the	
2	Court for further guidance.	
3	12. Following the exhaustion of all appeals in <i>Ramos</i> or order of this Court vacating the	
4	stay, the parties plan to file a status report with this Court within 14 days of the issuance of the	
5	mandate to this Court.	
6		
7	SO STIPULATED this 12 th day of March, 2019.	
8	Respectfully submitted,	
9	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
10	/s/ Alycia A. Degen	
11	Alycia A. Degen Sean A. Commons	
12	Tami Weerasingha-Cote Ava Guo	
13	Kimberly Leaman Tyler Domino	
14	Jon Dugan	
15	ACLU OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA Ahilan T. Arulanantham	
16	Zoë N. McKinney	
17	NATIONAL DAY LABORER	
18	Organizing Network Jessica Karp Bansal	
19	Emilou MacLean	
20	ASIAN AMERICANS ADVANCING JUSTICE – LOS ANGELES	
21	Laboni Hoq	
22	Christopher Lapinig Michelle (Minju) Cho	
23	ASIAN AMERICANS ADVANCING	
24	JUSTICE – ASIAN LAW CAUCUS	
25	Jingni (Jenny) Zhao Winifred Kao	
26	Attorneys for Bhattarai Plaintiffs	
27		
28		

1	JOSEPH H. HUNT	
2	Assistant Attorney General Civil Division	
3	JOHN R. TYLER Assistant Branch Director	
4	/s/ Adam Kirschner	
5	ADAM KIRSCHNER (IL Bar # 6286601) Senior Trial Counsel	
6 7	Federal Programs Branch, Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice 1100 L Street, NW	
8	Washington, DC 20005 Tel: (202) 353-9265	
9	Fax: (202) 616-8460 Adam.Kirschner@usdoj.gov	
10	Adam.Kirschner@usdoj.gov	
10	Attorneys for Defendants	
12		
	CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(i) ATTESTATION	
13	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), regarding signatures, Alycia A. Degen hereby attests that	
14	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from counsel for Defendants.	
15	/s/ Alycia A. Degen	
16	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
17	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18	Dated: March 12 , 2019	
19	The Honorable Edward M. Chen	
20	United States District Judge	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	6 STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS - CASE No. 3:19-cv-00731-EMC	
	STH OLATION TO STAT FROCEEDINGS - CASE NO. 3.19-07-00/31-EMIC	